

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration is requested.

Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102b as being anticipated by Camacho. Also, claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Ogoro.

Claim 15 has been canceled without disclaimer to the subject matter therein. The cancellation of claim 15 is not to be construed as an acquiescence to the positions set forth by the Examiner in rejecting the claims.

Claims 1-14 were allowed.

Please note that various minor amendments have been made to claims 6, 7, and 9. It is submitted that these claims remain allowable.

Also note that claim 14 has been canceled in favor of new claims 16 and 17. New claim 16 positively recites the antenna, whereas claim 17 recites that the matching unit is for use with an antenna and that the resistance at the input terminal is generally equal to the resistance of the antenna to be connected to the input terminal. It is submitted that claims 16 and 17 should be allowed for the same reasons for allowance of claim 14.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Yasuhiro HIBINO et al.

By: 

Jeffrey R. Filipek

Registration No. 41,471

Attorney for Applicants

JRF/tnt
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
May 9, 2006